1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
3		
4		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE	
10	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2061
13	MICHAEL BRIAN MILLER	ACCUSATION
14	79570 Port Royal Avenue Bermuda Dunes, CA 92203	
15	Respiratory Care Practitioner	
16	License No. 20529	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
22	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
23	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
24	2. On or about December 17, 1998, the Respiratory Care Board issued	
25	Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 20529 to MICHAEL BRIAN MILLER	
26	(Respondent). The Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times	
27	relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2008, unless renewed.	
28		

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 Section 3710 of the Code states, in pertinent part: "The Respiratory Care
- 4. Section 3/10 of the Code states, in pertinent part: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

". . .

"(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

"...

"(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500).

··. . .

7. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within

the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

8. California Code of Regulations ("CCR"), title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act.

"

"(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence.

""

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

27 / / /

28 //

10. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 11. Section 3753.1 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation. . . ."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3750(d), 3750(g), 3752, and CCR, title 16, section 1399.370(a) and (c), in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. On or about October 2, 2006, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Brian Miller*, Case No. TRE038619, before the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino District, Respondent was convicted, on his nolo contendere plea, of one count of driving under the influence with 0.08% or higher blood alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b).
 - B. The circumstances of the conviction are as follows: On or about December 25, 2005, Respondent rear-ended another vehicle. San Bernardino County Sheriff's Officer W.B (Officer W.B.) responded to the scene of the accident. In the course of his investigation, Officer W.B. smelled a strong odor of alcohol on Respondent's person and upon inquiry, Respondent admitted to Officer W.B. he had been drinking beer. Respondent submitted to a Pre-Alcohol Screen (PAS) and the result was .142. Subsequently, two breath tests were administered with results of .14 and .14. Respondent was subsequently arrested.

C. 1 On or about January 3, 2006, a Complaint was filed in 2 Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, charging Respondent 3 with one count of driving under the influence, in violation of Vehicle Code 4 section 23152(a) and one count of driving under the influence with 0.08% or 5 higher blood alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b). SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 6 7 (Conviction of a Crime Involving Driving Under the Influence) 8 13. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under CCR, title 16, 9 sections 1399.370(a) and (c), in that he was convicted of a crime involving driving under the 10 influence, as more particularly described in paragraph 12, above, which is incorporated by 11 reference as if fully set forth herein. 12 AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES 13 14. On or about May 20, 2000, Respondent was arrested for violating Harbors and Navigations (H&N) Code section 655(b) [operating a water craft under the influence of 14 15 alcohol or drugs] and H&N Code section 655(c) [operating a water craft with 0.08% or more, by 16 weight, of alcohol in his blood]. On or about November 13, 2000, upon his own guilty plea, 17 Respondent was convicted of the amended charge of operating a water craft recklessly in 18 violation of H&N Code section 655(a). 19 **PRAYER** 20 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 21 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision: 22 1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 23 20529, issued to MICHAEL BRIAN MILLER; 24 2. Ordering Michael Brian Miller to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs 25 of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of 26 probation monitoring; 27 /// 28 ///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: March 21, 2007
4	
5	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for: STEPHANIE NUNEZ
6	Executive Officer
7	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
8	Complainant
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	